

PUBLIC RI REPORT

2021 PILOT

Barings LLC

Generated 2022-08-18

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework helps to build a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment activities. **Public RI Reports** provide accountability and transparency on signatories' responsible investment activities and support dialogue within signatories' organisations, as well as with their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders.

This **Public RI Report** is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2021 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offered a multiple-choice response, all options that were available to select from are included for context. While presenting the information verbatim results in lengthy reports, the approach is informed by signatory feedback that signatories prefer that the PRI does not summarise the information.

Context

In consultation with signatories, between 2018 and 2020 the PRI extensively reviewed the Reporting and Assessment processes and set the ambitious objective of launching in 2021 a completely new investor Reporting Framework, together with a new reporting tool.

We ran the new investor Reporting and Assessment process as a pilot in its first year, and such process included providing additional opportunities for signatories to provide feedback on the Reporting Framework, the online reporting tool and the resulting reports. The feedback from this pilot phase has been, and is continuing to be analysed, in order to identify any improvements that can be included in future reporting cycles.

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2021 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Barings Commentary

Reflecting our commitment to responsible investing, Barings has been a signatory to the Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI) since January 2014. Our parent company Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company has been a PRI signatory since February 2021. We report to the PRI's Reporting Framework annually on the development and implementation of our ESG integration and stewardship activities. We are pleased to announce that we achieved FY 2020 PRI scores of above 80 for all modules (other than one discontinued business line) and two five-star results of 93 and 99.

We believe that integrating the analysis of environmental, social and governance (ESG) information into our investment process gives us a more holistic understanding of the complex issues, risks, opportunities and value drivers that may impact our clients' portfolios over time. In-depth, fundamental analysis is at the heart of our investment philosophy, and ESG analysis is embedded within the investment process across all asset classes. We are also active stewards of the entities in which we invest; this goes hand-in-hand with our active investment approach. Stewardship involves our efforts to, where possible, safeguard and increase performance for clients.

The PRI's framework is our primary external benchmark to measure our progress on incorporating ESG information and stewardship into our investment processes and throughout our business. Scores and feedback from the PRI are used to inform and improve our responsible investment processes and Sustainability Policy, which is reviewed and approved annually by our Sustainability Committee. As the PRI framework evolves, Barings will continue to adapt and update our policies and processes.

Barings has worked continuously to improve our responsible investment practices, and we are proud of our progress.

Module	Sub-Module	Star Score (1–5)	Score (0–100)	Signatory Median Score
Investment & Stewardship Policy		4	82	60
	SSA	4	79	50
Fixed Income	Corporate	5	99	62
Fixed income	Securitised	4	89	55
	Private Debt	4	83	67
Real Estate		4	80	69
Lintad Family 1	Incorporation	5	93	71
Listed Equity ¹	Voting	4	83	54

¹ Barings exited quantitative equities in 2020, and for most of the year the strategy was in wind down. Barings chose not to incorporate ESG factors into the investment process or make other fundamental changes during the period of wind down, when the orderly transition and return of client funds was given priority. As such, the "Listed Equity: Active Quantitative" Voting module score was 83/100 (4 stars) and Incorporation score was 0/100.

Table of Contents

Module/Indicator	Page
Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)	4
Organisational Overview (OO)	8
Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)	26
Listed Equity (LE)	56
Fixed Income (FI)	72
Real Estate (RE)	89

Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)

Senior leadership statement

Our commitment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Our commitment	GENERAL

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment?
- What are the main differences between your organisation's approach to responsible investment in its ESG practice and in other practices, across asset classes?

Barings' commitment to responsible, sustainable investment builds on what makes us successful as an asset manager: our specialist knowledge and long-term thinking. We integrate ESG factors into our rigorous bottom-up fundamental analysis across all asset classes because we believe it is material to investment performance and long-term value. Our global footprint provides us with both the broad perspective and deep local knowledge to have a holistic understanding of complex issues, risks, diverse opportunities and value drivers that may impact our clients' portfolios over time. We are able to maintain a long-term focus, supported by our position as a subsidiary of MassMutual. Fostering collaboration is one of our company values and is fundamental to our sustainability approach, whether that's our investment teams working together to share best practices across asset classes, engaging with investors on their goals, or working with our specialist Sustainability and ESG Research and Analytics team to evolve our sustainability capabilities and drive lasting positive change. We are active stewards of our clients' capital, which goes hand in hand with our active investment approach. Stewardship involves using our influence, through our channels of communication, to try to safeguard and increase performance for clients. We support good and improving environmental, economic, social and governance practices through our engagement and stewardship practices. We engage with stakeholders on a regular basis, and believe the most productive outcomes stem from strong relationships and deep knowledge of companies, countries, industries and geographies. We also vote where possible in support of sustainable practices. Our overarching sustainability goal - to act responsibly today to deliver a sustainable tomorrow - is baked into our company mission to strive to deliver long-term financial security and build a sustainable future in service to our clients, colleagues and communities. Consistent with our investment approach, we also hold ourselves accountable for environmental, social and governance progress in our global business operations. We foster a diverse and inclusive culture, close partnerships with our communities, and with industry bodies focused on sustainability.

Annual overview

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Annual overview	GENERAL

- o Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. This might involve e.g. outlining your single most important achievement, or describing your general progress, on topics such as the following:
 - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
 - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policy makers
 - o collaborative engagements
 - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

In 2020, we moved further along our path towards responsible behavior and a sustainable world in a number of areas. First, we have made progress on our ESG investment integration across the asset classes, with improved access to data, tools, analysis and increased resourcing and proprietary scoring.

Second, we have evolved our approach to stewardship and engagement: we launched our proprietary ESG engagement and scoring platform, improved our transparency and reporting, and worked together with peers on collaborative engagements convened by Climate Action 100+, which are focused on long-term, systemic issues.

Third, we improved the formality and rigor of our governance of sustainability and ESG. Our ESG Working Group and Steering Committee met regularly, attended by stakeholders from across the investment teams and business units, to share best practices and ideas for improvements. In 2021, we formalized this governance structure, and now convene a Sustainability Committee, chaired by our Director of Sustainability and comprised of senior business leaders. The Sustainability Committee is supported by Sustainability Working Groups focused on long-term, strategic projects, made up of internal ESG champions. These groups supported our Sustainability Policy updates, led by the Sustainability team, in March 2020 and March 2021.

Finally, and representing some of our successes over 2020, we were shortlisted for a Clearglass Transparency Award in recognition of our willingness, ability and responsiveness to provide cost and performance data. We also won a workplace award for our action and commitments to reduce carbon emissions. Additionally, we launched a quarterly external and internal newsletter to maintain transparency and engagement with all our stakeholders.

Next steps

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Next steps	GENERAL

What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

Our three focus areas for the next period will be: 1. Improving transparency to clients and stakeholders through enhanced reporting and communications. 2. Creating tools and training for investment professionals that make their ESG integration and stewardship work easier. ESG data coverage and granularity will increase across the asset classes, and we can use that data to gain investment insights. 3. Constantly evolving our ESG integration approach in-line with best-practice, with special focus on asset classes where ESG integration and stewardship is more nascent industry-wide. 4. Enhancing our approach to operational sustainability, including setting quantifiable targets.

Endorsement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Endorsement	GENERAL

The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment.

Name	Mike Freno
Position	Chairman, CEO and President of Barings LLC
Organisation's name	Barings LLC

This endorsement is for the Senior Leadership Statement only and is not an endorsement of the information reported by
Barings LLC in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement is simply provided as a
general overview of Barings LLC's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice
and should not be relied upon as such, and is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their
management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions.

Organisational Overview (OO)

Organisational information

Categorisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle			
OO 1	CORE	Signatory category	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Categorisation	GENERAL			
Select the type	Select the type that best describes your organisation or the services you provide.								
(O) Fund ma	nagement	` '	(1) This is our only (or primary) type						
(P) Fund of	(P) Fund of funds, manager of managers or sub-advised products				(2) This is an additional (secondary) type				
(Q) Execution and advisory			()	(2) This is an additional (secondary) type					
(S) Fiduciary management or other outsourced discretionary fund allocation				(2) This is an additional (secondary) type					

Subsidiary information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries that are also PRI signatories in their own right?

○ (A) Yes**⑥** (B) No

Reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

Indicate the year-end date for your reporting year.

	Month	Day	Year
Reporting year end date:	April	1	2021

Assets under management

All asset classes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 4.1, OO 4.2	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What were your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the indicated reporting year? Provide the amount in USD.

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries	US\$ 345,164,000,000.00
(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission	US\$ 0.00
(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only	US\$ 0.00

Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total assets under management at the end of your indicated reporting year.

	Percentage of AUM
(A) Listed equity – internal	0-10%
(B) Listed equity – external	0.0%
(C) Fixed income – internal	>75%

(D) Fixed income – external	0.0%
(E) Private equity – internal	0-10%
(F) Private equity – external	0.0%
(G) Real estate – internal	0-10%
(H) Real estate – external	0.0%
(I) Infrastructure – internal	0.0%
(J) Infrastructure – external	0.0%
(K) Hedge funds – internal	0.0%
(L) Hedge funds – external	0.0%
(M) Forestry – internal	0.0%
(N) Forestry – external	0.0%
(O) Farmland – internal	0.0%
(P) Farmland – external	0.0%
(Q) Other – internal, please specify:	0.0%
(R) Other – external, please specify:	0.0%
(S) Off-balance sheet – internal	0.0%
(T) Off-balance sheet – external	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 LE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your listed equity assets.

(A) Internal allocation

(1) Passive equity	0.0%
(2) Active – quantitative	0-10%
(3) Active – fundamental	>75%
(4) Investment trusts (REITs and similar publicly quoted vehicles)	0.0%
(5) Other, please specify:	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 FI	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your fixed income assets.

(A) Internal allocation

(1) Passive – SSA	0.0%
(2) Passive – corporate	0.0%
(3) Passive – securitised	0.0%
(4) Active – SSA	0-10%

(5) Active – corporate	50-75%
(6) Active – securitised	0-10%
(7) Private debt	10-50%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 PE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your private equity assets.

(A) Internal allocation

(1) Venture capital	0.0%		
(2) Growth capital	0.0%		
(3) (Leveraged) buyout	0.0%		
(4) Distressed, turnaround or special situations	0.0%		
(5) Secondaries	0.0%		
(6) Other, please specify:	>75%		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2 RE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your real estate assets.

(A) Internal allocation

(1) Retail	10-50%
(2) Office	10-50%

(3) Industrial	10-50%
(4) Residential	10-50%
(5) Hotel	10-50%
(6) Lodging, leisure and recreation	0.0%
(7) Education	0.0%
(8) Technology/science	0.0%
(9) Healthcare	0.0%
(10) Mixed use	0-10%
(11) Other, please specify:	0-10%

ESG strategies

Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 LE	CORE	OO 5.2 LE	OO 6.1 LE, LE 13	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies do you apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity:

(A) Screening alone	0.0%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	>75%

(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	0.0%
(H) None	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 LE	CORE	OO 6 LE	LE 8	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

What type of screening is applied to your internally managed active listed equity assets?

Percentage coverage out of your total listed equities where screening strategy is applied

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0.0%
(B) Negative screening only	>75%
(C) A combination of positive/best-in-class and negative screening	0.0%

Fixed income

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 FI	CORE	OO 5.2 FI	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies do you apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(1) Fixed income – SSA	(2) Fixed income – corporate	(3) Fixed income – securitised
(A) Screening alone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

(B) Thematic alone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	>75%	>75%	>75%
(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(H) None	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 FI	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

What type of screening is applied to your internally managed active fixed income?

(1) Fixed income – SSA	(2) Fixed income – corporate	(3) Fixed income – securitised
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
>75%	>75%	>75%
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	0.0% >75%	(1) Fixed income – SSA corporate 0.0%

Stewardship

Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 LE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 LE	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Listed equity	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your listed equity assets?

	$\begin{array}{c} \hbox{(1) Engagement on listed equity} - \\ \hbox{active} \end{array}$	(3) (Proxy) voting on listed equity – active
(A) Through service providers	☑	
(C) Through internal staff	☑	
(D) Collaboratively	\square	
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity		

Fixed income

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 FI	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 FI	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your fixed income assets?

	(4) Active – SSA	(5) Active – corporate	(6) Active – securitised	(7) Private debt
(A) Through service providers			Ø	
(C) Through internal staff	Ø	Ø	Ø	\square

(D) Collaboratively	Ø			Ø
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity for this strategy/asset type				

Private equity, real estate and infrastructure

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 ALT	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Private equity, real estate and infrastructure	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities in the following asset classes?

	(1) Private equity	(2) Real estate
(A) Through service providers		Z
(C) Through internal staff	☑	Z
(D) Collaboratively		
(E) We did not conduct stewardship activities for this asset class		

ESG incorporation

Internally managed assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into your investment decisions.

	$\begin{array}{c} \hbox{(1) ESG incorporated into investment} \\ \hbox{decisions} \end{array}$	(2) ESG not incorporated into investment decisions
(B) Listed equity – active – quantitative	0	•
(C) Listed equity – active – fundamental	•	0
(F) Fixed income – SSA	•	0
(G) Fixed income – corporate	•	0
(H) Fixed income – securitised	•	0
(I) Fixed income – private debt	•	0
(J) Private equity	•	0
(K) Real estate	•	0

Voluntary reporting

Voluntary modules

(D) Fixed income – securitised

(E) Fixed income – private debt

(G) Real estate

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Voluntary modules	GENERAL

The following modules are voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules as they account for less than 10% of your total AUM and are under USD 10 billion. Please select if you wish to voluntarily report on the module.

	(1) Yes, report on the module	(2) No, opt out of reporting on the module
(F) Private equity	0	•
	atory to report on as they account for 10% or m Stewardship Policy) module is always applicable	
	(1) Yes, repo	rt on the module
ISP: Investment and Stewardship Policy		•
(A) Listed equity		•
(B) Fixed income – SSA		•
(C) Fixed income – corporate		•

 \odot

19

ESG/sustainability funds and products

Labelling and marketing

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management in each asset class are ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or ESG/RI certified or labelled assets? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5% and should combine internally and externally managed assets.

Percentage	9
------------	---

(B) Listed equity – active	25-50%
(D) Fixed income – active	0.0%
(E) Private equity	0.0%
(F) Real estate	25-50%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16.1	CORE	OO 16	ISP 52	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your total assets (per asset class) carry a formal ESG/RI certification or label? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5%.

Coverage of ESG/RI certification or label:

(A) Listed equity	0.0%
(D) Real estate	25-50%

Climate investments

Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management is in targeted low-carbon or climate-resilient investments?

0.0%

Other asset breakdowns

Geographical breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

What is the geographical breakdown of your organisation's assets under management by investment destination (i.e. where the investments are located)?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income - SSA	(3) Fixed income – corporate	(4) Fixed income – securitised
(A) Developed	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(B) Emerging	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(C) Frontier	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Other	>75%	>75%	>75%	>75%

	(5) Fixed income – private debt	(6) Private equity	(7) Real estate
(A) Developed	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(B) Emerging	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(C) Frontier	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Other	>75%	>75%	>75%

Fixed income constraints

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 20	CORE	OO 5.2 FI	OO 20.1	PUBLIC	Fixed income constraints	GENERAL

What percentage of your fixed income assets are subject to constraints? The constraints may be regulatory requirements, credit quality restrictions, currency constraints or similar.

Internal and external fixed income assets subject to constraints

(A) Fixed income – SSA	>75%
(B) Fixed income – corporate	>75%
(C) Fixed income – securitised	>75%
(D) Fixed income – private debt	>75%

Private equity: Sectors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 21	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Private equity: Sectors	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your organisation's internally managed private equity investments by sector?

Percentage of total internally managed private equity AUM

(A) Energy	0.0%
(B) Materials	0-25%
(C) Industrials	50-75%
(D) Consumer discretionary	0.0%
(E) Consumer staples	0-25%
(F) Health care	0-25%
(G) Financials	0.0%
(H) Information technology	0.0%
(I) Communication services	0-25%
(J) Utilities	0-25%
(K) Real estate	0.0%

Real estate: Building type

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 24	CORE	OO 5	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{RE}\ 1,\mathrm{RE}\ 9,\mathrm{RE} \\ 10 \end{array}$	PUBLIC	Real estate: Building type	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your direct physical real estate assets by strategy?

Percentage total of direct physical real estate AUM

(A) Standing investments	50-75%
(B) New construction	0-25%
(C) Major renovation	0-25%

Context and explanation

ESG not incorporated

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 35	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG not incorporated	1, 2

Describe why you currently do not incorporate ESG into your assets and/or why you currently do not conduct stewardship.

	Description
(B) Internally managed: Listed equity – active	ESG is not incorporated in Listed Equity – Active Quantitative as the strategy is no longer offered as of 2021.

Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)

Responsible investment policy & governance

Responsible investment policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1	CORE	N/A	ISP 1.1, ISP 1.2	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Does your organisation have a formal policy or policies covering your approach to responsible investment? Your approach to responsible investment may be set out in a standalone guideline, covered in multiple standalone guidelines or be part of a broader investment policy. Your policy may cover various responsible investment elements such as stewardship, ESG guidelines, sustainability outcomes, specific climate-related guidelines, RI governance and similar.

- (A) Yes, we do have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment
- o (B) No, we do not have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1.1	CORE	ISP 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What elements does your responsible investment policy cover? The responsible investment elements may be set out in one or multiple standalone guidelines, or they may be part of a broader investment policy.

- ☑ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☑ (E) Approach to stewardship
- (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes
- ☑ (G) Approach to exclusions
- (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented
- ☑ (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty
- ☑ (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives
- ☑ (K) Responsible investment governance structure

(L)	Internal re	eporting	and ve	erification	related	to	responsible	investme	ent
(M)	External	reporting	relate	ed to resp	onsible	inv	estment		

(N) Managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment

 \square (O) Other responsible investment aspects not listed here, please specify:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 2	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	6

Indicate which of your responsible investment policy elements are publicly available and provide links

indicate which of your responsible investment policy elements are publicly available and provide links.	
☑ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment. Add link(s): https://bwebprod.blob.core.windows.net/assets/user/media/Barings-Global-Sustainability-Policy-2021.pdf	
 ☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors. Add link(s): https://bwebprod.blob.core.windows.net/assets/user/media/Barings-Global-Sustainability-Policy-2021.pdf 	
 ☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors. Add link(s): https://bwebprod.blob.core.windows.net/assets/user/media/Barings-Global-Sustainability-Policy-2021.pdf 	
 ☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors. Add link(s): https://bwebprod.blob.core.windows.net/assets/user/media/Barings-Global-Sustainability-Policy-2021.pdf 	
 ☑ (E) Approach to stewardship. Add link(s): https://bwebprod.blob.core.windows.net/assets/user/media/Barings-Global-Sustainability-Policy-2021.pdf 	
 ☑ (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes. Add link(s): https://bwebprod.blob.core.windows.net/assets/user/media/Barings-Global-Sustainability-Policy-2021.pdf 	
☑ (G) Approach to exclusions. Add link(s): https://bwebprod.blob.core.windows.net/assets/user/media/Barings-Global-Sustainability-Policy-2021.pdf	

- ${
 m et/assets/user/media/Ba}$
- (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented. Add link(s):
 - https://bwebprod.azureedge.net/assets/user/media/Barings-Public-Equities-ESG-Integration-Active-Engagement-Policy.pdf
- ☑ (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty. Add link(s):
- https://bwebprod.blob.core.windows.net/assets/user/media/Barings-Global-Sustainability-Policy-2021.pdf
- ☑ (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives. Add link(s):
- https://bwebprod.blob.core.windows.net/assets/user/media/Barings-Global-Sustainability-Policy-2021.pdf
- ☑ (K) Responsible investment governance structure. Add link(s):
- https://bwebprod.blob.core.windows.net/assets/user/media/Barings-Global-Sustainability-Policy-2021.pdf
- ☑ (N) Managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment. Add link(s):

- https://bwebprod.blob.core.windows.net/assets/user/media/Barings-Global-Sustainability-Policy-2021.pdf
- □ (P) Our responsible investment policy elements are not publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 3	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your policy elements on overall approach to responsible investment and/or guidelines on environmental, social and governance factors?

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- o (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- o (C) Guidelines on social factors
- o (D) Guidelines on governance factors

AUM coverage of all policy elements in total:

> 75%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 4	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Which elements does your exclusion policy include?

- □ (A) Legally required exclusions (e.g. those required by domestic/international law, bans, treaties or embargoes)
- \square (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs (e.g. regarding weapons, alcohol, to bacco and/or avoiding other particular sectors, products, services or regions)
- \square (C) Exclusions based on screening against minimum standards of business practice based on international norms (e.g. OECD guidelines, the UN Human Rights Declaration, Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your asset class–specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented?

AUM Coverage:

(A) Listed Equity	50-75%
(B) Fixed Income	0.0%
(C) Private Equity	0.0%
(D) Real Estate	>75%

Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 6	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

Do your organisation's board, chief-level staff, investment committee and/or head of department have formal oversight and accountability for responsible investment?

- \square (A) Board and/or trustees
- □ (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- (C) Investment committee
- ☑ (D) Other chief-level staff, please specify:
 - Chief Legal and Administrative Officer
- ☑ (E) Head of department, please specify department:
 - Sustainability; Research, Analytics and Quant; and heads of investment teams.
- \square (F) None of the above roles have oversight and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 7	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles have responsibility for implementing responsible investment?

- ☐ (A) Board and/or trustees
- □ (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- ☑ (C) Investment committee
- \square (D) Other chief-level staff [as specified]
- ☑ (E) Head of department [as specified]
- ☑ (F) Portfolio managers
- ☑ (G) Investment analysts
- ☑ (H) Dedicated responsible investment staff
- \square (I) Investor relations
- ☐ (J) External managers or service providers
- \square (K) Other role, please specify:
- \square (L) Other role, please specify:
- \square (M) We do not have roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment.

People and capabilities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8	CORE	ISP 6, ISP 7	ISP 8.1, ISP 8.2	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

What formal objectives for responsible investment do the roles in your organisation have?

	(3) Investment committee	(4) Other chief- level staff [as specified]	(5) Head of department [as specified]	(6) Portfolio managers	(7) Investment analysts	(8) Dedicated responsible investment staff
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	Ø		Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach			Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø

(e.g. through	stewardship activities sharing findings from SG research or ecisions)	Z		Ø	Ø	Ø	Z
(D) Objective	for ESG performance		Ø	Ø			Ø
	jective related to vestment [as specified]						Ø
	jective related to vestment [as specified]						
	l objectives for vestment exist for this						
Indicator ISP 8.2	Type of indicator CORE	Dependent on ISP 8	Gateway to	Disclosure PUBLIC	Subsection People and	canahilities	PRI Principle General
	sible investment objectiv		,				General
					jectives linked in your organis		ompensation for
(3) Investmen	t committee						
(A) Objective	for ESG incorporation is	n investment activ	ities	Ø			
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)				es			

(C) Objective for contributing to the

(4) Other chief-level staff	
(D) Objective for ESG performance	
(5) Head of department	
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	
(D) Objective for ESG performance	
(6) Portfolio managers	
(A) Objective on ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	
(7) Investment analysts	
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	✓

(8)	Dedicated	responsible	investment	staff
-----	-----------	-------------	------------	-------

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	
(D) Objective for ESG performance	2
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment (as specified in ISP 8 option E)	
(G) We have not linked any RI objectives to variable compensation	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 9	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

How frequently does your organisation assess the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among your investment professionals?

- (B) Bi-annually
- o (C) Annually
- \circ (D) Less frequently than annually
- o (E) On an ad hoc basis
- \circ (F) We do not have a process for assessing the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among our investment professionals

Strategic asset allocation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 10	CORE	N/A	ISP 10.1	PUBLIC	Strategic asset allocation	1

Does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your strategic asset allocation?

- ☑ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
- \square (B) We specifically incorporate physical, transition and regulatory changes related to climate change into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
- \square (C) No, we do not incorporate ESG considerations into our strategic asset allocation
- \square (D) Not applicable, we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 10.1	CORE	ISP 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategic asset allocation	1

For what proportion of assets do you incorporate ESG factors into your strategic asset allocation process?

(A) We incorporate ESG factors into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes	(2) for the majority of our assets
(B) We specifically incorporate physical, transition and regulatory changes related to climate change into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes	(3) for a minority of our assets

Stewardship

Stewardship policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

What percentage of your assets under management does your stewardship policy cover?

(A) Listed equity	>75%
(B) Fixed income	>75%
(C) Private equity	>75%
(D) Real estate	>75%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 12	CORE	ISP 1.1	ISP 12.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

Which elements does your organisation's stewardship policy cover? The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI policy.

- ☑ (A) Key stewardship objectives
- ☑ (B) Prioritisation approach of ESG factors and their link to engagement issues and targets
- □ (C) Prioritisation approach depending on entity (e.g. company or government)
- (D) Specific approach to climate-related risks and opportunities
- ☑ (E) Stewardship tool usage across the organisation, including which, if any, tools are out of scope and when and how different tools are used and by whom (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams, service providers, external investment managers or similar)
- ☐ (F) Stewardship tool usage for specific internal teams (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams or similar)
- ☑ (G) Stewardship tool usage for specific external teams (e.g. service providers, external investment managers or similar)
- (H) Approach to collaboration on stewardship
- ☑ (I) Escalation strategies
- ☑ (J) Conflicts of interest
- \square (K) Details on how the stewardship policy is implemented and which elements are mandatory, including how and when the policy can be overruled

\square (L) How stewardship efforts and results should be communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa

 \square (M) None of the above elements are captured in our stewardship policy

Stewardship policy implementation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 13	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy implementation	2

How is your stewardship policy primarily applied?

- (A) It requires our organisation to take certain actions
- o (B) It describes default actions that can be overridden (e.g. by investment teams for certain portfolios)
- o (C) It creates permission for taking certain measures that are otherwise exceptional
- \circ (D) We have not developed a uniform approach to applying our stewardship policy

Stewardship objectives

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 15	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship objectives	2

For the majority of assets within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(3) Private equity	(4) Real estate
(A) Maximise the risk–return profile of individual investments	0	0	o	0
(B) Maximise overall returns across the portfolio	0	0	0	0
(C) Maximise overall value to beneficiaries/clients	•	•	•	•
(D) Contribute to shaping specific sustainability outcomes (i.e. deliver impact)	0	0	0	0

Stewardship prioritisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship prioritisation	2

What key criteria does your organisation use to prioritise your engagement targets? For asset classes such as real estate, private equity and infrastructure, you may consider this as key criteria to prioritise actions taken on ESG factors for assets, portfolio companies and/or properties in your portfolio. Select up to 3 options per asset class from the list.

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(3) Private equity	(4) Real estate
(A) The size of our holdings in the entity or the size of the asset, portfolio company and/or property	☑	☑		Ø
(B) The materiality of ESG factors on financial and/or operational performance				Ø
(C) Specific ESG factors with systemic influence (e.g. climate or human rights)				
(D) The ESG rating of the entity			V	
(E) The adequacy of public disclosure on ESG factors/performance	☑	☑		Ø
(F) Specific ESG factors based on input from clients				
(G) Specific ESG factors based on input from beneficiaries				
(H) Other criteria to prioritise engagement targets, please specify:				

(I) We do not prioritise our engagement targets		

Collaborative stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	ISP 18.1	PUBLIC	Collaborative stewardship	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf, with regards to collaborative stewardship efforts such as collaborative engagements?

- (A) We recognise that stewardship suffers from a collective action problem, and, as a result, we actively prefer collaborative efforts
- \circ (B) We collaborate when our individual stewardship efforts have been unsuccessful or are likely to be unsuccessful, i.e. as an escalation tool
- \circ (C) We collaborate in situations where doing so would minimise resource cost to our organisation
- o (D) We do not have a default position but collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- o (E) We generally do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Escalation strategies

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 20	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Escalation strategies	2

If initial stewardship approaches were deemed unsuccessful, which of the following measures are excluded from the potential escalation actions of your organisation or those of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income
(A) Collaboratively engaging the entity with other investors		
(B) Filing/co-filing/submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal		
(C) Publicly engaging the entity (e.g. open letter)		

(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors		
(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors		
(F) Voting against the annual financial report		
(G) Divesting or implementing an exit strategy		
(H) We do not have any restrictions on the escalation measures we can use	☑	

Engaging policymakers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

How does your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policymakers for a more sustainable financial system?

- \square (A) We engage with policymakers directly
- (B) We provide financial support, are members of and/or are in another way affiliated with third-party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policymakers
- \square (C) We do not engage with policymakers directly or indirectly

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23.1	CORE	ISP 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

What methods do you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policymakers for a more sustainable financial system?

- ☑ (A) We participate in "sign-on" letters on ESG policy topics. Describe:
- ☑ (B) We respond to policy consultations on ESG policy topics. Describe:
- ☑ (C) We provide technical input on ESG policy change. Describe:
- \square (D) We proactively engage financial regulators on financial regulatory topics regarding ESG integration, stewardship, disclosure or similar. Describe:
- ☑ (E) We proactively engage regulators and policymakers on other policy topics. Describe:
- We have proactively engaged on capital adequacy; diversity, equity and inclusion; and UK Consumer Investment Markets.
- \square (F) Other methods used to engage with policymakers. Describe:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23.2	CORE	ISP 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

Do you have governance processes in place (e.g. board accountability and oversight, regular monitoring and review of relationships) that ensure your policy activities, including those through third parties, are aligned with your position on sustainable finance and your commitment to the 6 Principles of the PRI?

● (A) Yes, we have governance processes in place to ensure that our policy activities are aligned with our position on sustainable finance and our commitment to the 6 Principles of the PRI. Describe your governance processes:

Barings has adopted various policies and procedures related to governance that assist with aligning policy activities and overall position on ESG related issues. Barings also has implemented a formal Sustainability Committee to assist the firm in continuing to develop its sustainability policy and practices, and provide guidance on policy-making to the organization.

o (B) No, we do not have these governance processes in place. Please explain why not:

Engaging policymakers – Policies

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 24	CORE	ISP 23	ISP 24.1	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers – Policies	2

Do you have policies in place that ensure that your political influence as an organisation is aligned with your position on sustainable finance and your commitment to the 6 Principles of the PRI?

- (A) Yes, we have a policy(ies) in place. Describe your policy(ies):
- (B) No, we do not a policy(ies) in place. Please explain why not:
 Barings participates in various industry groups and participates as necessary in regulatory outreach programs offered by the US Securities and Exchange Committee. Barings does not, however, have a formal policy on "political influence" as opportunities to influence policy makers can take many forms and therefore could not necessarily be covered by one policy.

Engaging policymakers – Transparency

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 25	CORE	ISP 23	N/A	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers – Transparency	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose your policy engagement activities or those conducted on your behalf by external investment managers/service providers?

□ (A) We publicly disclosed details of our policy engagement activities. Add link(s):
(B) We publicly disclosed a list of our third-party memberships in or support for trade associations, think-tanks or similar
that conduct policy engagement activities with our support or endorsement. Add link(s):
Barings provides this information upon request.
□ (C) No, we did not publicly disclose our policy engagements activities during the reporting year. Explain why:
□ (D) Not applicable, we did not conduct policy engagement activities

Climate change

Public support

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 26	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

Does your organisation publicly support the Paris Agreement?

- (A) Yes, we publicly support the Paris Agreement Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support
 for the Paris Agreement:
- https://bwebprod.blob.core.windows.net/assets/user/media/Barings-Global-Sustainability-Policy-2021.pdf
- o (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the Paris Agreement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 27	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

Does your organisation publicly support the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)?

- \odot (A) Yes, we publicly support the TCFD Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the TCFD:
- https://bwebprod.blob.core.windows.net/assets/user/media/Barings-Global-Sustainability-Policy-2021.pdf
- (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the TCFD

Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 28	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

How does the board or the equivalent function exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities?

☑ (A) By establishing internal processes through which the board or the equivalent function are informed about climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

ESG is one of the top risks within Barings' Risk taxonomy which is reviewed by the Organizational Risk Committee on a regular basis and reported to the Audit Committee of the Board on a quarterly basis.

☑ (B) By articulating internal/external roles and responsibilities related to climate. Specify:

Barings has not specifically articulated internal or external roles and responsibilities in relation to climate however there is reliance on both the Sustainability team, Research, Analytics and Quant team and Business Resiliency team to raise a concern.

(C) By engaging with beneficiaries to understand how their preferences are evolving with regard to climate change. Specify:

We regularly engage with our parent company, as well as other clients, to understand their preferences with regards to climate change. This usually takes place during client meetings and in other communications, and in some cases we have been asked to join working groups on the topic.

☑ (D) By incorporating climate change into investment beliefs and policies. Specify:

The Investment Managers consider ESG information alongside other crucial variables that may impact an investment's risks and returns over time. In particular, the Investment Managers consider ESG criteria, including those on climate change, in relation to specific industry and sector trends and characteristics to identify the risks of an investment.

☑ (E) By monitoring progress on climate-related metrics and targets. Specify:

The Investment Managers continue to monitor each investment to ensure their thesis, including that on climate change, remains intact and that an investment's risk and return profile remains attractive relative to other opportunities available in the market.

- □ (F) By defining the link between fiduciary duty and climate risks and opportunities. Specify:
- \square (G) Other measures to exercise oversight, please specify:
- ☐ (H) The board or the equivalent function does not exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 29	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

What is the role of management in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities?

☑ (A) Management is responsible for identifying climate-related risks/opportunities and reporting them back to the board or the equivalent function. Specify:

ESG is one of the top risks within Barings' Risk taxonomy which is reviewed by the Organizational Risk Committee on a regular basis and reported to the Audit Committee of the Board on a quarterly basis.

☑ (B) Management implements the agreed-upon risk management measures. Specify:

Risk Management is an independent function of Barings with specialized, independent teams responsible for investment risk, counterparty risk and organizational risk. The function is headed by the Chief Risk Officer who reports to Barings' Chief Administrative and Legal Officer.

☑ (C) Management monitors and reports on climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

As mentioned previously, ESG is a top risk within Barings' taxonomy. The Sustainability, Research, Analytics and Quant, and Business Resiliency and Investment teams maintain an awareness of sustainability risks, including those related to climate risk.

☑ (D) Management ensures adequate resources, including staff, training and budget, are available to assess, implement and monitor climate-related risks/opportunities and measures. Specify:

Adequate resources are available to assess sustainability risks.

- □ (E) Other roles management takes on to assess and manage climate-related risks/opportunities, please specify:
- ☐ (F) Our management does not have responsibility for assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities

Strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified within its investment time horizon(s)?

☑ (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:

Barings investment professionals are ultimately responsible for incorporating ESG factors, including physical and transition risks and opportunities related to climate change, into their investment processes across asset classes and geographies. Once invested, investment professionals continue to monitor each entity to ensure that our thesis remains intact and that an investment's risk and return profile remains attractive relative to other opportunities available in the market.

☑ (B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:

Barings investment professionals are ultimately responsible for incorporating ESG factors, including risks and opportunities related to climate change, into their investment processes across asset classes and geographies. For certain asset classes and sectors, we review exposure to fossil fuels and assets that may become stranded.

\checkmark	(C)	Assets	with	exposure to	$\operatorname{\mathbf{direct}}$	physical	${\bf climate}$	risk.	Specify:	

Barings investment professionals are ultimately responsible for incorporating ESG factors, including physical risks related to climate change, into their investment processes across asset classes and geographies. For example, we review the potential impacts of direct physical climate risks on potential real estate investments.

☑ (D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:

Barings investment professionals are ultimately responsible for incorporating ESG factors, including indirect physical risks related to climate change, into their investment processes across asset classes and geographies. For example, this may include analysis of potential climate change impacts on supply chains or customer demand.

☑ (E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:

Barings investment professionals are ultimately responsible for incorporating ESG factors, including opportunities related to climate change, into their investment processes across asset classes and geographies. For example, this may include seeking potential opportunities that may benefit under climate scenarios due to greater resilience or shifting consumer demand.

- □ (F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:
- □ (G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified. Specify:
- □ (H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities within our organisation's investment time horizon

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30.1	CORE	ISP 30	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

For each of the identified climate-related risks and opportunities, indicate within which investment time-horizon they were identified.

	(1) 3–5 months	(2) 6 months to 2 years	(3) 2–4 years	(4) 5–10 years
(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes [as specified]		Ø	V	Ø
(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded [as specified]			Ø	Ø
(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk [as specified]	Ø	Ø	Ø	V
(D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk [as specified]	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø

(E) Specific sectors and/or assets
that are likely to benefit under a
range of climate scenarios [as
specified]

	

	(5) 11–20 years	(6) 21–30 years	(7) > 30 years
(A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes [as specified]	Ø	Ø	
(B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded [as specified]	Ø		
(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk [as specified]	Ø	Ø	☑
(D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk [as specified]	☑	☑	✓
(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios [as specified]	☑	☑	Z

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 31	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified beyond its investment time horizon(s)?

\square (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:

While we evaluate climate-related risks and opportunities within our typical investment time horizons as noted, many similar risks and opportunities extend beyond our typical investment time horizons as well. We may still consider those risks and opportunities if, for example, they may impact demand for our investments when we seek to exit positions. This is particularly true for long-lived assets such as real estate, infrastructure, and other real assets.

- (B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:
 Please see above.
- ☑ (C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:

	Please see above.
√	(D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify: Please see above.
√	(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify: Please see above.
√	(F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify: Please see above.
	(G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified, please specify:(H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities beyond our organisation's investment time horizon

Strategy: Scenario analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 33	CORE	N/A	ISP 33.1	PUBLIC	Strategy: Scenario analysis	General

Does your organisation use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities? Select the range of scenarios used.

(A)) An	orderly	transition	to	a 2°C	or	lower	scenario
I	1 1111	oracriy	or arrestored	UU	u 2 C	$O_{\mathbf{I}}$	IO W CI	occirar io

- \square (B) An abrupt transition consistent with the Inevitable Policy Response
- □ (C) A failure to transition, based on a 4°C or higher scenario
- \square (D) Other climate scenario, specify:
- (E) We do not use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities

Sustainability outcomes

Set policies on sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 40	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Set policies on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Where is your approach to sustainability outcomes set out? Your policy/guideline may be a standalone document or part of a wider responsible investment policy.

- ☑ (A) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our responsible investment policy
- \square (B) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our exclusion policy
- (C) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in our stewardship policy
- ☑ (D) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in asset class-specific investment guidelines
- ☑ (E) Our approach to sustainability outcomes is set out in separate guidelines on specific outcomes (e.g. the SDGs, climate or human rights)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 41	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Set policies on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which global or regionally recognised frameworks do your policies and guidelines on sustainability outcomes refer to?

- (A) The SDG goals and targets
- ☑ (B) The Paris Agreement
- □ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- \square (D) The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, including guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- ☑ (E) Other frameworks, please specify:
- PRI, TCFD
- ☑ (F) Other frameworks, please specify:
- United Nations Global Compact

Identify sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 43	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes from any of its activities?

- o (A) No, we have not identified the sustainability outcomes from our activities
- (B) Yes, we have identified one or more sustainability outcomes from some or all of our activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44	CORE	ISP 43	ISP 44.1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

What frameworks/tools did your organisation use to identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities? Indicate the tools or frameworks you have used to identify and map some or all of your sustainability outcomes.

☐ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
☑ (B) The Paris Agreement
☐ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
□ (D) The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, including guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for
Institutional Investors
☐ (E) The EU Taxonomy
☐ (F) Other taxonomies (e.g. similar to the EU Taxonomy), please specify:
☑ (G) Other framework/tool, please specify:
SASB
 ☑ (H) Other framework/tool, please specify: UN Global Compact
\square (I) Other framework/tool, please specify:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44.1	CORE	ISP 44	N/A	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

At what level(s) did your organisation identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities?

☑ (,	A) 1	At th	ie as	set leve	l
------	------	-------	-------	----------	---

 \square (B) At the economic activity level

(C) At the company level

 \square (D) At the sector level

☐ (E) At the country/region level

 \square (F) At the global level

 \square (G) Other level(s), please specify:

☐ (H) We do not track at what level(s) our sustainability outcomes were identified

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 45	CORE	ISP 43	SO 1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

How has your organisation determined your most important sustainability outcome objectives?

- ☑ (A) Identifying sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- (B) Consulting with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- (C) Assessing the potential severity (e.g. probability and amplitude) of specific negative outcomes over different timeframes
- (D) Focusing on the potential for systemic impacts (e.g. due to high level of interconnectedness with other global challenges)
- ☑ (E) Evaluating the potential for certain outcome objectives to act as a catalyst/enabler to achieve a broad range of goals (e.g. gender or education)
- □ (F) Analysing the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society or similar)
- ☑ (G) Understanding the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- \square (H) Other method, please specify:
- \square (I) We have not yet determined our most important sustainability outcome objectives

Transparency & Confidence-Building Measures

Information disclosed – ESG assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 46	CORE	OO 16	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – ESG assets	6

For the majority of your ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or your ESG/RI certified or labelled assets, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external investment managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

- (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)
- ☑ (B) Industry-specific and asset class–specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)
- ☑ (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)
- (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered
- (E) ESG objectives of individual funds
- □ (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance
- ☑ (G) Our stewardship approach
- ☑ (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)
- (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction
- (J) A list of our main investments and holdings
- ☑ (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)
- \Box (L)We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or our ESG/RI certified or labelled assets

Client reporting – ESG assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 48	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Client reporting – ESG assets	6

What ESG information is included in your client reporting for the majority of your ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or your ESG/RI certified or labelled assets?

- ☑ (A) Qualitative analysis, descriptive examples or case studies
- ☑ (B) Quantitative analysis or key performance indicators (KPIs) related to ESG performance
- (C) Progress on our sustainability outcome objectives
- (D) Stewardship results
- (E) Information on ESG incidents, where applicable
- ☐ (F) Analysis of ESG contribution to portfolio financial performance
- \square (G) We do not include ESG information in client reporting for the majority of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or our ESG/RI certified or labelled assets

Information disclosed – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 49	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – All assets	6

For the majority of your total assets under management, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

- (a.g. that we are a PRI signatory)
- ☑ (B) Industry-specific and asset class–specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)
- (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)
- (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered
- ☑ (E) ESG objectives of individual funds
- □ (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance
- ☑ (G) Our stewardship approach
- (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)
- ☐ (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction
- (J) A list of our main investments and holdings
- ☐ (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)

 \square (L) We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our assets under management

Client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 50	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Client reporting – All assets	6

What ESG information is included in your client reporting for the majority of your assets under management?

- \square (A) Qualitative ESG analysis, descriptive examples or case studies
- □ (B) Quantitative analysis or key performance indicators (KPIs) related to ESG performance
- □ (C) Progress on our sustainability outcome objectives
- □ (D) Stewardship results
- \square (E) Information on ESG incidents where applicable
- ☐ (F) Analysis of ESG contribution to portfolio financial performance
- (G) We do not include ESG information in client reporting for the majority of our assets under management

Frequency of client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 51	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Frequency of client reporting – All assets	6

For the majority of each asset class, how frequently do you report ESG-related information to your clients?

(A) Listed equity	(1) Quarterly
(B) Fixed income	(4) On an ad hoc basis or upon request
(C) Private equity	(4) On an ad hoc basis or upon request
(D) Real estate	(3) Annually

Confidence-building measures

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 52	CORE	OO 16.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

What verification has your organisation had regarding the information you have provided in your PRI Transparency Report this year?

- \square (A) We received third-party independent assurance of selected processes and/or data related to our responsible investment processes, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- \square (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls/governance or processes to be able to conduct an external assurance next year
- ☑ (C) The internal audit function team performed an independent audit of selected processes/and or data related to our responsible investment processes reported in this PRI report
- ☑ (D) Our board, CEO, other C-level equivalent and/or investment committee has signed off on our PRI report
- \square (E) Some or all of our funds have been audited as part of the certification process against a sustainable investment/RI label
- \square (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products (excluding ESG/RI certified or labelled assets)
- □ (G) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to check that our funds comply with our RI policy (e.g. exclusion list or investee companies in portfolio above certain ESG rating)
- \square (H) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- \square (I) Responses related to our RI practices documented in this report have been internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- \square (J) None of the above

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 56	CORE	OO 14, ISP 52	N/A	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

What responsible investment processes and/or data were audited by internal auditors/outsourced internal auditors?

(A) Investment and stewardship policy	(4) Neither process nor data assured
(C) Listed equity	(4) Neither process nor data assured
(D) Fixed income	(1) Processes assured

(F) Real estate

(4) Neither process nor data assured

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 58	CORE	ISP 52	N/A	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

Who has reviewed/verified the entirety of or selected data from your PRI report?

(A) Board and/or trustees	(4) report not reviewed
(B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))	(3) parts of the report
(C) Investment committee	(4) report not reviewed
(D) Other chief-level staff, please specify: Chief Legal & Administrative Officer	(1) the entire report
(E) Head of department, please specify: Director of Sustainability, and all heads of department on our Sustainability Committee (Head of Public Fixed Income, Head of Global Equities, Head of Private Placements & Global Infrastructure, Head of Research, Analytics and Quant, head of Client Portfolio Management)	(1) the entire report
(F) Compliance/risk management team	(1) the entire report
(G) Legal team	(1) the entire report
(H) RI/ ESG team	(1) the entire report
(I) Investment teams	(3) parts of the report

Listed Equity (LE)

Pre-investment phase

Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	OO 10	LE 1.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify material ESG factors across listed equities?

	${\bf (3) \ Active-fundamental}$
(A) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for all of our assets	•
(B) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for the majority of our assets	0
(C) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for a minority of our assets	0
(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their own discretion	0
(E) No, we do not have a formal process to identify material ESG factors	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1.1	CORE	LE 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

How does your current investment process incorporate material ESG factors?

(3) Active - Fundamental

(A) The investment process incorporates material governance factors	
(B) The investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	☑
(C) The investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's typical investment time horizon	☑
(D) The investment process incorporates the effect of material ESG factors on revenues and business operations	✓

Long-term ESG trend analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1

Do you continuously monitor a list of identified long-term ESG trends related to your listed equity assets?

(3) Active – fundamental

(A) We monitor long-term ESG trends for all assets	•	
--	---	--

(B) We monitor long-term ESG trends for the majority of assets	0
(C) We monitor long-term ESG trends for a minority of assets	•
(D) We do not continuously monitor long-term ESG trends in our investment process	0

ESG incorporation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	OO 10	LE 3.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1

How does your financial modelling and equity valuation process incorporate material ESG risks?

	${\bf (3) \ Active-fundamental}$
(A) We incorporate governance- related risks into financial modelling and equity valuations	☑
(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks into financial modelling and equity valuations	
(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into financial modelling and equity valuations	✓
(D) ESG risk is incorporated into financial modelling and equity valuations at the discretion of individual investment decision-makers, and we do not track this process	

(E) We do not incorporate ESG risks into our financial modelling and equity valuations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3.1	CORE	LE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1

In what proportion of cases do you incorporate the following material ESG risks into your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

(3) Active - Fundamental

(A) We incorporate governance-related risks into financial modelling and equity valuations	(1) in all cases
(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks into financial modelling and equity valuations	(1) in all cases
(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into financial modelling and equity valuations	(1) in all cases

Assessing ESG performance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	OO 10	LE 4.1	PUBLIC	Assessing ESG performance	1

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

	$(3) \ {\bf Active-fundamental}$	
(A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics		

(B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG metrics		
(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics	Ø	
(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability		
(E) We do not incorporate ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial modelling or equity valuation		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4.1	CORE	LE 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Assessing ESG performance	1

In what proportion of cases do you incorporate the following information when assessing the ESG performance of companies in your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics	(1) in all cases
(B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG metrics	(1) in all cases
(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics	(1) in all cases
(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	(1) in all cases

ESG incorporation in portfolio construction

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 5	PLUS	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

Outline one best practice or innovative example where ESG factors have been incorporated into your equity selection and research process.

ESG considerations can be challenging to assess quantitatively—as the scope, quality and timeliness of external ESG data varies across the equity landscape. Company data for smaller businesses and emerging markets, for instance, tends to be less comprehensive. Further, due to different methodologies, data providers arrive at different conclusions when evaluating the ESG credentials of the same company. For these reasons, while we believe third party research is a useful input to challenge our own views on a company's ESG credentials, we do not think it should be solely relied upon to make investment decisions.

While there are different schools of thought when it comes to incorporating ESG factors into equity analysis, we have found that integrating ESG into our fundamental, bottom-up investment process is imperative to delivering better risk-adjusted returns. In our view, there are tangible benefits to having equity analysts assess ESG factors alongside the analysis of fundamental factors. In many cases, equity analysts already have extensive knowledge of the company they are researching—including years or even decades of direct interaction with corporate management teams. As a result, they are well positioned not only to incorporate the risks and opportunities stemming from ESG into their assessment of a company, but also to recognize any improvement or deterioration in a company's ESG practices.

Being early to identify such trends—which may not be fully recognized or valued by the market—can result in a distinct advantage from an investment perspective. Experienced equity analysts may also be better able to engage with corporate management on material issues—leading to the potential for better risk-adjusted returns. At Barings, we use a proprietary assessment framework to capture the ESG performance of companies, which is fully integrated into our fundamental research.

For Barings Global Equities (approach differs from the Barings Korea Equities team's assessment) this assessment framework is structured in a way that is consistent with how we assess a company's quality attributes. The standardized qualitative assessment of a company is carried out in the three broad categories described below. Within these categories, our ESG framework groups industry research and material sustainability issues into nine sub-categories:

- Sustainability Of The Business Model (Franchise)
- 1. Employee Satisfaction-Staff Turnover; Strikes; Fair Wages; Injuries; Fatalities; Unionized Workforce; Training and Education
- 2. Resource Intensity-Water Usage; GHG Emissions; Energy Usage
 - 3. Traceability/Security In Supply Chain-Traceability Of Key Inputs;Investments In Protecting The Business From External Threats, e.g. Cyber Security;Backward Integration (Protection Of Key Inputs)
- Corporate Governance Credibility (Management)
 - 4. Effectiveness Of Supervisory/Management Board-Separation Of Chair & CEO;Size Of Board; ndependence Of Board;Frequency Of Meetings;Attendance Record;Voting Structure;Female Participation on Boards
- 5. Credibility Of Auditing Arrangements-Credible Auditor; Independent Audit Committee; Qualification To Accounts

- 6. Transparency & Accountability Of Management–Access To Management; Financial Reporting; Tax Disclosure; Appropriate Incentive Structure
- Hidden Risks On The Balance Sheet (Balance Sheet)
 - 7. Environmental Footprint—GHG Emissions; Carbon Intensity; History Of Environmental Fines/Sanctions; Reduction Programs In Place For Water/Waste/Resource Intensity
 - $8. \ Societal \ Impact of \ Products/Services-Health/Wellness \ implications \ of \ Consumption \ of \ goods/services; Product \ Safety \ issues; Community \ Engagement$
 - $9. \ Business\ Ethics-Anti-competitive\ practices; Bribery/Corruption; Whistle-Blower\ Policy; Litigation\ Risk; Freedom\ of\ Speech; Gender\ and\ Diversity\ Considerations$

INTEGR ATION IN ACTION: EVALUATING THE SUSTAINABILITY OF A BUSINESS MODEL

A European specialty chemicals company, which produces animal feed and human nutrition goods, has a number of innovative products and projects. Its animal feed products are intended to improve feed conversion, enable more sustainable meat production, and promote healthier animals. The company is also developing several pioneering animal feed solutions, such as products that help reduce the output of methane from cows, and produce fish feed from algae—as opposed to using scarce resources such as pelagic fish. Using our framework, and looking at the sustainability of the company's business model, we determined that the company was benefiting from the global shift toward more sustainable business practices. Specifically, we believed its competitive advantage was growing, and as a result, we decided to invest in what we viewed as an attractive longer-term opportunity.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6	CORE	OO 10	LE 6.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

	$ (3) \ {\bf Active-fundamental} $
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	

(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process	
(E) Other expressions of conviction (please specify below)	
(F) The portfolio construction or benchmark selection does not explicitly include the incorporation of ESG factors	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6.1	CORE	LE 6	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

In what proportion of cases did ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases

ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 8	CORE	OO 6.1 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- \square (A) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process, but only for our ESG/sustainability labelled funds that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- (B) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all of our listed equity assets that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- \square (C) We have an independent committee that verifies that we have correctly implemented pre-trade checks in our internal systems to ensure no execution is possible without their pre-clearance
- ☑ (D) Other, please specify:
 - The Guideline Management team monitors post-trade compliance with our exclusionary criteria for our Global Equities team, and we are working to implement similar monitoring across other equities teams.
- \square (E) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

Post-investment phase

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 9	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do your regular reviews incorporate ESG risks?

	(3) Active – fundamental
(A) Our regular reviews include quantitative information on material ESG risks specific to individual listed equities	
(B) Our regular reviews include aggregated quantitative information on material ESG risks at a fund level	

(C) Our regular reviews only highlight fund holdings where ESG ratings have changed	
(D) We do not conduct regular reviews. Risk reviews of ESG factors are conducted at the discretion of the individual fund manager and vary in frequency	
(E) We do not conduct reviews	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 10	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

(3) Active - fundamental

Do you regularly identify and incorporate ESG incidents into the investment process for your listed equity assets?

0	
0	
0	
0	
	0

(F) We currently do not have a process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into our investment decision-making

0

Reporting/Disclosure

Sharing ESG information with stakeholders

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 13	CORE	OO 6 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

How do you ensure that clients and/or beneficiaries understand ESG screens and their implications?

	(1) for all of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(2) for the majority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(3) for a minority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(4) for none of our assets subject to ESG screens
(A) We publish a list of ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation	•	0	O	0
(B) We publish any changes in ESG screens and share them on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation	•	0	0	0
(C) We outline any implications of ESG screens, such as deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings, to clients and/or beneficiaries	٥	٥	0	•

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 14	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

What ESG information is covered in your regular reporting to stakeholders such as clients or beneficiaries?

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes qualitative examples of engagement and/or ESG incorporation	1) In all of our regular stakeholder reporting
(B) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG engagement data	1) In all of our regular stakeholder reporting
(C) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG incorporation data	3) In a minority of our stakeholder reporting

Stewardship

Voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 15.1, LE 16	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

Does your organisation have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy? (The policy may be a standalone policy, part of a stewardship policy or incorporated into a wider RI policy.)

- ♠ (A) Yes, we have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy Add link(s): https://www.barings.com/kr/guest/stewardship-code/proxy-voting-policy ALSO https://bwebprod.blob.core.windows.net/assets/user/media/Barings-Global-Proxy-Voting-Policy.pdf
- o (B) Yes, we have a (proxy) voting policy, but it is not publicly available
- o (C) No, we do not have a (proxy) voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15.1	CORE	OO 9 LE, LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

What percentage of your listed equity assets does your (proxy) voting policy cover?

(A) Actively managed listed equity covered by our voting policy

(12) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 16	CORE	LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

Does your organisation's policy on (proxy) voting cover specific ESG factors?

- ☑ (A) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific governance factors Describe:
- ☑ (B) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific environmental factors Describe:
- ☑ (C) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific social factors Describe:
- \square (D) Our policy is high-level and does not cover specific ESG factors Describe:

Alignment & effectiveness

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 17	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Alignment & effectiveness	2

When you use external service providers to give voting recommendations, how do you ensure that those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

(A) We review service providers' controversial and high-profile voting recommendations before voting is executed	(1) in all cases
(B) Before voting is executed, we review service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear	(1) in all cases

Security lending policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 18	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 18.1, LE 18.2	PUBLIC	Security lending policy	2

Does your organisation have a public policy that states how voting is addressed in your securities lending programme? (The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI or stewardship policy.)

- o (A) We have a public policy to address voting in our securities lending programme. Add link(s):
- o (B) We have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- o (C) We rely on the policy of our service provider(s)
- o (D) We do not have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) Not applicable, we do not have a securities lending programme

Shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 19	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Shareholder resolutions	2

Which of the following best describes your decision-making approach regarding shareholder resolutions, or that of your service provider(s) if decision-making is delegated to them?

- (A) In the majority of cases, we support resolutions that, if passed, are expected to advance progress on the underlying ESG
 factors or on our stewardship priorities
- (B) In the majority of cases, we support resolutions that, if passed, are expected to advance progress on the underlying ESG factors but only if the investee company has not already committed publicly to the action requested in the proposal
- \circ (C) In the majority of cases, we only support shareholder resolutions as an escalation tactic when other avenues for engagement with the investee company have not achieved sufficient progress
- o (D) In the majority of cases, we support the recommendations of investee company management by default
- o (E) In the majority of cases, we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

Pre-declaration of votes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 20	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Pre-declaration of votes	2

How did your organisation or your service provider(s) pre-declare votes prior to AGMs/EGMs?

- \square (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system
- □ (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly (e.g. through our own website) Link to public disclosure:
- \square (C) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- □ (D) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain Link to public disclosure:
- \square (E) Prior to the AGM/EGM, we privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies in cases where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- (F) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions
- □ (G) We did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 21	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 21.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

Do you publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions, or those made on your behalf by your service provider(s), in a central source?

● (A) Yes, for >95% of (proxy) votes Link:

https://www.barings.com/gb/guest/investment-policies AND https://www.barings.com/kr/guest/stewardship-code

- o (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes Link:
- \circ (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes 1) Add link and 2) Explain why you only publicly disclose a minority of (proxy) voting decisions:
- \circ (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions Explain why you do not publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions:

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 21.1	CORE	LE 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

In the majority of cases, how soon after an investee's AGM/EGM do you publish your voting decisions?

- (A) Within one month of the AGM/EGM
- o (B) Within three months of the AGM/EGM
- o (C) Within six months of the AGM/EGM
- o (D) Within one year of the AGM/EGM
- \circ (E) More than one year after the AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 22	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 22.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions?

- (A) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was provided privately to the company
- (B) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was disclosed publicly
- □ (C) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, we did not communicate the rationale
- \square (D) We did not vote against management or abstain

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 22.1	CORE	m LE~22	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2

Indicate the proportion of votes where you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicated the rationale for your voting decisions.

(A) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was provided privately to the company	(4) 76–95%
(B) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was disclosed publicly	(5)>95%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 23	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 23.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM	2, 5

Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions when voting against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory?

- \square (A) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was disclosed publicly
- \square (B) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was not disclosed publicly
- \square (C) We did not vote against any shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory

Fixed Income (FI)

Pre-investment phase

Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1	CORE	OO 10	FI 1.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify material ESG factors for its fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for all of our assets	0	•	•	•
(B) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for the majority of our assets	•	0	0	0
(C) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for a minority of our assets	0	O	0	0

(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their own discretion	0	0	0	0
(E) No, we do not have a formal process to identify material ESG factors	0	0	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1.1	CORE	FI 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

How does your current investment process incorporate material ESG factors?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) The investment process incorporates material governance factors	Ø	☑	Ø	Z
(B) The investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	Ø	☑	Ø	Ø
(C) The investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's typical investment time horizon	Ø	☑	Ø	✓
(D) The investment process incorporates the effect of material ESG factors on revenues and business operations	Ø	Ø	☑	Ø

ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2	CORE	OO 10	FI 2.1	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

How are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto		Ø	Ø	Ø
(B) Companies, sectors, countries and currency are monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits		Ø		Ø
(C) Overall exposure to specific ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on individual issuers' sensitivity to these factors	Ø	 ✓		
(D) Other method of incorporating ESG factors into risk management process, please specify below:			Ø	Ø
(E) We do not have a process to incorporate ESG factors into our portfolio risk management				

Please specify for "(D) Other method of incorporating ESG factors into risk management process".

For global Real Estate Debt. The recently developed ESG scorecard will be updated on an ongoing basis as part of the loan monitoring process. For Global Private Finance: 1. Investment team; 2. Barings firm wide ESG/Sustainability team; 3. Compliance; 4. Investment committee and/or portfolio managers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2.1	CORE	FI 2	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For what proportion of your fixed income assets are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management

(1) SSA	
(C) Overall exposure to specific ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on individual issuers' sensitivity to these factors	(3) for a minority of our assets
(2) Corporate	
A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto	(1) for all of our assets
B) Companies, sectors, countries and currency are monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits	(1) for all of our assets
C) Overall exposure to specific ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on individual issuers' sensitivity o these factors	(1) for all of our assets
3) Securitised	
A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto	(1) for all of our assets
D) Other method of incorporating ESG factors into risk management process	(3) for a minority of our assets
4) Private debt	
A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto	(2) for the majority of our assets
B) Companies, sectors, countries and currency are monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits	(2) for the majority of our assets
D) Other method of incorporating ESG factors into risk management process	(2) for the majority of our assets

ESG incorporation in asset valuation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3	CORE	OO 10	FI 3.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in asset valuation	1

How do you incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into your fixed income asset valuation process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of cash flow, revenues and profitability	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the debt issuer	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(C) We do not incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into our fixed income asset valuation process				

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3.1	CORE	FI 3	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in asset valuation	1

In what proportion of cases do you incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into your fixed income asset valuation process?

(1) SSA

(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of cash flow, revenues and profitability	(1) in all cases
(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the debt issuer	(1) in all cases

(2) Corporate

(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of cash flow, revenues and profitability	(1) in all cases
(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the debt issuer	(1) in all cases
(3) Securitised	
(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of cash flow, revenues and profitability	(2) in the majority of cases
(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the debt issuer	(1) in all cases
(4) Private debt	
(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of cash flow, revenues and profitability	(2) in the majority of cases
(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the debt issuer	(2) in the majority of cases

ESG incorporation in portfolio construction

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 5	CORE	OO 10	FI 5.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	Ø	V	Ø	Ø

(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	Ø	Ø	☑	
(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process			 ✓	
(E) Other expressions of conviction, please specify below:				Ø
(F) The portfolio construction or benchmark selection does not explicitly include the incorporation of ESG factors				

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 5.1	CORE	FI 5	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

Given limited liquidity in private markets, our private debt strategies also express conviction around ESG factors in portfolio

construction by frequently declining deals with exposure to certain activities/sectors or with specific ESG concerns.

In what proportion of cases do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

Please specify "(E) Other expressions of conviction".

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors (B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors (C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors (1) in all cases

(2) Corporate

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(3) Securitised	
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(2) in the majority of cases
(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process	(2) in the majority of cases
(4) Private debt	
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	(1) in all cases
(E) Other expressions of conviction	(1) in all cases

ESG incorporation in assessment of issuers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 7	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in assessment of issuers	1

When assessing issuers'/borrowers' credit quality, how does your organisation incorporate material ESG risks in the majority of cases?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) In the majority of cases, we incorporate material governance-related risks	0	0	0	0
(B) In addition to incorporating governance-related risks, in the majority of cases we also incorporate material environmental and social risks	•	•	•	•
(C) We do not incorporate material ESG risks for the majority of our credit quality assessments of issuers/borrowers	0	0	0	0

ESG performance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 8	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG performance	1

In the majority of cases, how do you assess the relative ESG performance of a borrower within a peer group as part of your investment process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to adjust the internal credit assessments of borrowers by modifying forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates	Ø	 ✓	
(B) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to make relative sizing decisions in portfolio construction	Ø		 ✓
(C) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to screen for outliers when comparing credit spreads to ESG relative performance within a similar peer group	Ø	☑	☑
(D) We consider the ESG performance of a borrower only on a standalone basis and do not compare it within peer groups of other benchmarks			
(E) We do not have an internal ESG performance assessment methodology			

ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 9	CORE	OO 10	FI 9.1	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For your corporate fixed income, does your organisation have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country and sector?

- (A) Yes, it differentiates ESG risks by country/region (for example, local governance and labour practices)
- \square (B) Yes, it differentiates ESG risks by sector
- \square (C) No, we do not have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country/region and sector

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 9.1	CORE	FI 9	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For what proportion of your corporate fixed income assets do you apply your framework for differentiating ESG risks by issuer country/sector?

	(1) for all of our corporate fixed income assets	(2) for the majority of our corporate fixed income assets	(3) for a minority of our corporate fixed income assets
(A) We differentiate ESG risks by country/region (for example, local governance and labour practices)	•	0	0
(B) We differentiate ESG risks by sector	•	0	0

Private debt

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 10	CORE	OO 10	FI 10.1	PUBLIC	Private debt	1

Indicate how your organisation incorporates ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence phase.

- \square (A) We use a qualitative ESG checklist
- ☑ (B) We assess quantitative ESG data, such as energy consumption, carbon footprint and gender diversity
- ☐ (C) We require that the investment has its own ESG policy
- (D) We hire specialised third parties for additional ESG assessments
- \square (E) We require the review and sign-off of our ESG due diligence process by our investment committee or the equivalent function
- ☑ (F) Other method of incorporating ESG into the selection of private debt during due diligence (please specify below):
- □ (G) We do not incorporate ESG factors when selecting private debt during the due diligence phase

Please specify "(F) Other method of incorporating ESG into selection of private debt during due diligence".

For Real Estate Debt: We have recently created a proprietary Barings Real Estate Debt scoring methodology for our loan investments that assigns an ESG rating to both the Sponsor and the Property. Each investment is ultimately assigned a score from 1-5 (1 being the strongest). We now complete a scorecard for each investment at the onset of each opportunity and update through the life of the loan as part of the loan monitoring process.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 10.1	CORE	FI 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Private debt	1

In what proportion of cases do you incorporate ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence phase?

	(1) in all cases	(2) in the majority of cases	(3) in a minority of cases
(A) We use a qualitative ESG checklist	0	0	•
(B) We assess quantitative ESG data, such as energy consumption, carbon footprint and gender diversity	0		0
(D) We hire specialised third parties for additional ESG assessments	0	•	0
(E) We require the review and sign off of our ESG due diligence process by our investment committee, or the equivalent function	•	0	0
(F) Other method of incorporating ESG into the selection of private debt during due diligence	•	0	0

Securitised products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 11	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Securitised products	1

How do you incorporate ESG factors into the financial analysis of securitised products?

- (A) We analyse ESG risks and returns for both the issuer or debtor and the underlying collateral or asset pool
- (B) We perform ESG analysis that covers the issuer or debtor only
- o (C) We perform ESG analysis that covers the underlying collateral or asset pool only
- \circ (D) We do not incorporate ESG factors into the financial analysis of securitised products

Post-investment phase

ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 12	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do your regular reviews incorporate ESG risks?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) Our regular reviews include quantitative information on material ESG risks specific to individual fixed income assets		Ø	Ø	
(B) Our regular reviews include aggregated quantitative information on material ESG risks at a fund level		Ø	Ø	
(C) Our regular reviews only highlight fund holdings where ESG ratings have changed				☑

(D) We do not conduct regular reviews. Risk reviews of ESG factors are conducted at the discretion of the individual fund manager and vary in frequency		
(E) We do not conduct reviews that incorporate ESG risks		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 13	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do you regularly identify and incorporate ESG incidents into the investment process for your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into all of our investment decisions	0	•	0	0
(B) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into the majority of our investment decisions	0	o	•	•
(C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into a minority of our investment decisions	O	o	0	o
(D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process in place for identifying and incorporating ESG incidents	•	0	0	0
(E) We do not have a process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into our investment decision-making	0	0	0	0

Time horizons

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 14	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Time horizons	1

In the majority of cases, how does your investment process account for differing time horizons of holdings and how they may affect ESG factors?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) We take into account current risks	Ø	☑	☑
(B) We take into account medium- term risks	Ø		☑
(C) We take into account long-term risks	☑		☑
(D) We do not take into account differing time horizons of holdings and how they may affect ESG factors			

Long-term ESG trend analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 15	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1

Do you continuously monitor a list of identified long-term ESG trends related to your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) We monitor long-term ESG trends for all of our assets	•	•	•	0

(B) We monitor long-term ESG trends for the majority of our assets	0	0	0	•
(C) We monitor long-term ESG trends for a minority of our assets	0	0	0	0
(D) We do not continuously monitor long-term ESG trends in our investment process	0	0	0	٥

Reporting/Disclosure

ESG screens

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 21	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG screens	6

How do you ensure that clients and/or beneficiaries understand ESG screens and their implications?

(A) We publish a list of ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation Voluntary URL link(s) to list of ESG screens:	(1) for all of our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens
(B) We publish any changes in ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation Voluntary URL link(s) to ESG screen changes:	(1) for all of our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens
(C) We outline any implications of ESG screens, such as deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings, to clients and/or beneficiaries	(2) for the majority of our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens

Engagement

Engaging with issuers/borrowers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 22	CORE	OO 9 FI	FI 22.1	PUBLIC	Engaging with issuers/borrowers	2

At which stages does your organisation engage with issuers/borrowers?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) At the pre-issuance/pre-deal stage	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(B) At the pre-investment stage	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(C) During the holding period	Ø	\square	Ø	Ø
(D) At the refinancing stage	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(E) When issuers/borrowers default	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø

Sovereign bonds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 23	CORE	OO 9 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Sovereign bonds	2

For the majority of your sovereign bond engagements, which non-issuer stakeholders do you engage with to promote your engagement objectives?

- ☐ (A) Non-ruling parties
- ☑ (B) Originators and primary dealers
- ☑ (C) Index and ESG data providers
- ☑ (D) Multinational companies/state-owned enterprises (SOEs)
- ☑ (E) Supranational organisations
- ☑ (F) Credit rating agencies (CRAs)
- \square (G) Business associations
- □ (H) Media

- ☑ (I) NGOs, think tanks and academics
- □ (J) Other non-issuer stakeholders, please specify:
- □ (K) We do not engage with any of the above stakeholders for the majority of our sovereign bond engagements

Real Estate (RE)

Policy

Investment guidelines

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 1	CORE	OO 24, OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

What real estate-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policies?

- ☑ (A) Guidelines on our ESG approach to real estate depending on use (e.g. retail, education etc.)
- ☑ (B) Guidelines on our ESG approach to new construction
- ☑ (C) Guidelines on our ESG approach to major renovations
- ☑ (D) Guidelines on our ESG approach to standing real estate investments
- (E) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to property managers
- ☑ (F) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to tenants
- (G) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to construction contractors
- □ (H) Guidelines on excluding certain tenants based on responsible investment considerations
- \square (I) Our policies do not cover real estate-specific ESG guidelines

Fundraising

Commitments to investors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

For all of your funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs) or side letters? (If you did not close any funds during this reporting year, refer to the last reporting year in which you did close funds.)

- □ (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs as a standard, default procedure
- \square (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs upon client request
- \square (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon client request
- (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- \square (E) Not applicable as we have never raised funds
- \square (F) Not applicable as we have not raised funds in the last 5 years

Pre-investment phase

Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 3	CORE	N/A	RE 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential real estate investments?

(A) We assessed materiality at the asset level, as each case is unique	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(B) We performed a mix of property type and asset-level materiality analysis	(4) for none of our potential real estate investments
(C) We assessed materiality according to property type only	(4) for none of our potential real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 3.1	CORE	RE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential real estate investments?

- (A) We used GRI Standards to inform our real estate materiality analysis
- ☑ (B) We used SASB to inform our real estate materiality analysis
- \square (C) We used climate risk disclosures such as the TCFD recommendations (or other climate risk analysis tools) to inform our real estate materiality analysis
- (D) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our real estate materiality analysis
- ☑ (E) Other, please specify:
- Investor questionnaires.

Due diligence

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

During the reporting year, how did ESG factors affect the selection of your real estate investments?

(A) ESG factors helped identify risks	(1) for all of our potential real estate investments		
(B) ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)	(2) for the majority of our potential real estate investments		
(C) ESG factors helped identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)	(2) for the majority of our potential real estate investments		
(D) ESG factors helped identify opportunities for value creation	(2) for the majority of our potential real estate investments		
(E) ESG factors led to the abandonment of potential investments	(4) for none of our potential real estate investments		
(F) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on revenue assumptions	(2) for the majority of our potential real estate investments		

(G) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on CAPEX assumptions	(2) for the majority of our potential real estate investments
(H) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on OPEX assumptions	(2) for the majority of our potential real estate investments
(I) ESG factors impacted investments in terms of price offered and/or paid by having an effect on the cost of capital or discount rate assumptions	(3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
(J) Other, please specify: NA	(4) for none of our potential real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 5	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential investments?

(1) for all of our potential real estate investments
(2) for the majority of our potential real estate investments
(2) for the majority of our potential real estate investments
(2) for the majority of our potential real estate investments
(2) for the majority of our potential real estate investments
(2) for the majority of our potential real estate investments
(2) for the majority of our potential real estate investments

(4) for none of our potential real estate investments

Selection, appointment and monitoring of third-party property managers

Selection process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 6	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Selection process	1, 4

During the reporting year, how did you include ESG factors in all of your selections of external property managers? (If you did not select external property managers during the reporting year, report on the most recent year in which you selected external property managers.)

- (A) We requested information from potential managers on their overall approach to ESG
- ☑ (B) We requested track records and examples from potential managers on how they manage ESG factors
- (C) We requested information from potential managers on their engagement process(es) with stakeholders
- \square (D) We requested documentation from potential managers on their responsible procurement practices (including responsibilities, approach and incentives)
- \square (E) We requested the assessment of current and planned availability and aggregation of metering data from potential managers
- \square (F) Other, please specify:
- \square (G) We did not include ESG factors in our selection of external property managers

Appointment process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 7	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Appointment process	1, 4

How did you include ESG factors in the appointment of your current external property managers?

(A) We set dedicated ESG procedures in all relevant property management phases	(2) for the majority of our external property managers
(B) We set clear ESG reporting requirements	(2) for the majority of our external property managers

(C) We set clear ESG performance targets	(2) for the majority of our external property managers
(D) We set incentives related to ESG targets	(2) for the majority of our external property managers
(E) We included responsible investment clauses in property management contracts	(2) for the majority of our external property managers
(F) Other, please specify: NA	(4) for none of our external property managers

Monitoring process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle	
RE 8	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring process	1, 4	
How do you inc	lude ESG factors in the	e monitoring of ext	ernal property n	nanagers?			
(A) We monitor targets	· performance against q	${ m uantitative\ and/or}$	qualitative envi	ronmental	(2) for the majority property managers	of our external	
(B) We monitor performance against quantitative and/or qualitative social targets (2) for the majority of our external property managers						of our external	
(C) We monitor performance against quantitative and/or qualitative governance targets					(2) for the majority of our external property managers		
(D) We monitor progress reports on engagement with tenants					(2) for the majority of our external property managers		
(E) We require formal reporting on an annual basis as a minimum				(2) for the majority of our external property managers			
(F) We have regular discussions about ESG factors with all relevant stakeholders					(2) for the majority of our external property managers		
· ·	a performance review oncial incentive structur	-	on ESG alignmer	at linked to	(4) for none of our property managers	external	

(H) We have internal/external parties conduct site visits at least once a year	(2) for the majority of our external property managers
(I) Other, please specify:	(4) for none of our external property managers

Construction and development

Construction requirements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 9	CORE	OO 24	N/A	PUBLIC	Construction requirements	1

What sustainability requirements do you currently have in place for all development projects and major renovations?

- ☑ (A) We require the management of waste by diverting construction and demolition materials from disposal
- (B) We require the management of waste by diverting reusable vegetation, rocks and soil from disposal
- (C) We require the minimisation of light pollution to the surrounding community
- (D) We require the minimisation of noise pollution to the surrounding community
- ☑ (E) We require the performance of an environmental site assessment
- (F) We require the protection of the air quality during construction
- \square (G) We require the protection and restoration of the habitat and soils disturbed during construction and/or during previous development
- \square (H) We require the protection of surface and ground water and aquatic ecosystems by controlling and retaining construction pollutants
- (I) We require the constant monitoring of health and safety at the construction site
- \square (J) Other, please specify:
- □ (K) We do not have sustainability requirements in place for development projects and major renovations

Minimum building requirements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 10	CORE	OO 24	N/A	PUBLIC	Minimum building requirements	1

What minimum building requirements do you have in place for development projects and major renovations?

(A) We require the implementation of the latest available metering and IoT technology	(4) for none of our development projects and major renovations
(B) We require that the building be able to obtain a recognised green building certification for new buildings	(2) for the majority of our development projects and major renovations
(C) We require the use of certified (or labelled) sustainable building materials	(3) for a minority of our development projects and major renovations
(D) We require the installation of renewable energy technologies where feasible	(3) for a minority of our development projects and major renovations
(E) We require that development projects and major renovations become net-zero carbon emitters within five years of completion of the construction	(4) for none of our development projects and major renovations
(F) We require water conservation measures	(3) for a minority of our development projects and major renovations
(G) We require common occupant health and well-being measures	(3) for a minority of our development projects and major renovations
(H) Other, please specify:	(4) for none of our development
$\mathrm{N/A}$	projects and major renovations

Post-investment phase

Monitoring

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 11	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1
During the re	eporting year, what ESG b	uilding performance	data did you colle	ct for your real	estate assets?	
Through met	ering					
(A) Electricity	y consumption				(2) for the major estate assets	rity of our real
(B) Water con	\mathbf{n} sumption				(2) for the major estate assets	rity of our real
(C) Waste pro	oduction				(2) for the major estate assets	rity of our real
Through another	ther method					
(A) Electricity	y consumption				(3) for the minor estate assets	ity of our real
(B) Water con	\mathbf{n} sumption				(3) for the minor estate assets	ity of our real
(C) Waste pro	oduction				(3) for the minor estate assets	ity of our real

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

For the majority of the core KPIs that you tracked, how did you set targets across your real estate investments?

- (A) We set targets to achieve incremental improvements based on past performance
- ☑ (B) We set targets using industry benchmarks/standards
- \square (C) We set targets against global benchmarks or thresholds (e.g. on climate change and/or the SDGs)
- □ (D) We did not set targets for the core ESG KPIs that we tracked
- \square (E) We did not set targets as we don't track core ESG KPIs

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 13	CORE	OO 26	RE 13.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

What processes do you have in place to support meeting your ESG targets for your real estate investments?

(2) for the majority of our real estate investments
(4) for none of our real estate investments
(2) for the majority of our real estate investments
(2) for the majority of our real estate investments
(2) for the majority of our real estate investments
(2) for the majority of our real estate investments
(4) for none of our real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 14	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG-related risks and opportunities to create value during the holding period of your investments?

(A) We develop property-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings	(2) for the majority of our real estate investments
(B) We adjust our ESG action plans regularly based on performance monitoring findings	(2) for the majority of our real estate investments
(C) We hire external advisors to provide support with specific ESG value creation opportunities	(2) for the majority of our real estate investments
(D) Other, please specify: ${\rm N/A}$	(4) for none of our real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

What proportion of your real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label?

- \circ (A) All of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label
- o (B) The majority of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label
- (C) A minority of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label
- \circ (D) None of our real estate assets have obtained an ESG/RI certification or label

${\bf Stewardship}$

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 17	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	1, 2

How does your property manager engage with tenants? (If you are a property manager, please report on your direct tenant engagement.)

Tenants with operational control

-	
(A) We engage with real estate tenants through organising tenant events focused on increasing sustainability awareness, ESG training and guidance	(3) for a minority of our buildings or properties
(B) We engage with real estate tenants on energy and water consumption and/or waste production	(2) for the majority of our buildings or properties
C) We engage with real estate tenants by offering green leases	(2) for the majority of our buildings or properties
(D) We engage with real estate tenants through identifying collaboration opportunities that support net-zero targets	(3) for a minority of our buildings or properties
(E) We engage with real estate tenants by offering shared financial benefits from equipment upgrades	(3) for a minority of our buildings or properties
(F) Other, please specify: N/A	(4) for none of our buildings or properties
Tenants without operational control	
A) We engage with real estate tenants through organising tenant events focused on acreasing sustainability awareness, ESG training and guidance	(2) for the majority of our buildings or properties
(B) We engage with real estate tenants on energy and water consumption and/or waste production	(2) for the majority of our buildings or properties
(C) We engage with real estate tenants by offering green leases	(2) for the majority of our buildings or properties

(D) We engage with real estate tenants through identifying collaboration opportunities that support net-zero targets	(3) for a minority of our buildings or properties
(E) We engage with real estate tenants by offering shared financial benefits from equipment upgrades	(3) for a minority of our buildings or properties
(F) Other, please specify: N/A	(4) for none of our buildings or properties

Exit

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 18	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6

During the reporting year, what responsible investment information has your organisation shared with potential buyers of real estate investments?

e (2) for the majority of our real estate investments
(2) for the majority of our real estate investments
y (2) for the majority of our real estate investments
(4) for none of our real estate investments
(4) for none of our real estate investments
(3) for a minority of our real estate investments
(4) for none of our real estate

Reporting/Disclosure

ESG portfolio information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report on core ESG data and targets to your investors or beneficiaries?

- ☑ (A) We reported in aggregate through a publicly disclosed sustainability report
- (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries
- (C) We reported at the property level through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries
- (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)
- ☑ (E) We reported back at digital or physical events or meetings with investors or beneficiaries
- ☑ (F) We did ad hoc or informal reporting on serious ESG incidents
- \square (G) Other, please specify:
- □ (H) We did not report on core ESG data and targets to our investors or beneficiaries during the reporting year